

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
William C. O'Neill / Bar No. 251071
william.oneill@haynesboone.com
600 Anton Boulevard, Suite 700
Costa Mesa, California 92626
Telephone: (949) 202-3000
Facsimile: (949) 202-3154

Attorneys for Defendants
YUEN YUNG and HDYR, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO DIVISION

DAVID ESTEP and DEANNA)	CASE NO. 2:14-cv-02418-MCE-AC
ESTEP,)	
)	Judge Morrison C. England, Jr.
Plaintiffs,)	Courtroom 7
)	
vs.)	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME
)	TO FILE JOINT STATUS REPORT;
YUEN YUNG, an individual;)	ORDER THEREON
HDYR, LLC, doing business as)	
HDYR; MEKADDISHKEM-EBE,)	
a California corporation; and DOES)	
1 through 20, inclusive,)	<i>Complaint Filed: June 20, 2014</i>
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, on or about June 20, 2014, Plaintiffs commenced an action in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Solano, entitled *David Estep, et al. v. Yuen Yung, et al.*, bearing Case No. FCS 043717 (the "Complaint");

WHEREAS, Defendants Yuen Yung and HDYR, LLC removed the Complaint from the Superior Court to this Court on October 15, 2014;

1 WHEREAS, this Court issued an Order Requiring Joint Status Report (Doc.
2 2) requiring: “Within sixty (60) days of service of the complaint on any party, or
3 from the date of removal, the parties shall confer as required by Fed. R. Civ. P.
4 26(f) and shall prepare and submit to the Court a joint status report that includes
5 the Rule 26(f) discovery plan.”;

6 WHEREAS, 60 days after October 15, 2014 is December 14, 2014;

7 WHEREAS, Defendants Yung and HDYR, LLC filed a Motion to Transfer,
8 or, Alternatively, Dismiss, Plaintiffs’ Complaint (“Motion to Transfer”) on
9 October 21, 2014 (Doc. 4);

10 WHEREAS, the hearing for the Motion to Transfer was set for December 4,
11 2014;

12 WHEREAS, the Court has moved the hearing for the Motion to Transfer
13 from December 4, 2014 to December 18, 2014 (Doc. 9);

14 WHEREAS, it is the mutual intention of the parties to engage in a Rule 26(f)
15 conference, but request that the conference be held after the Court rules on the
16 Motion to Transfer because (1) waiting will ensure compliance with any Local
17 Rules in the event that the case is transferred and (2) the Rule 26(f) conference
18 would be addressed differently if Yung is dismissed;

19 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN THE PARTIES**, by and
20 through counsel, to request that this Court extend the deadline to submit a joint
21 status report from 60 days to 90 days, thereby requiring a joint status report on or
22 before January 13, 2015.

23
24
25
26
27
28 ***[SIGNATURES FOLLOW.]***

1 DATED: December 1, 2014

LAW OFFICES OF VINCENT MARTIN
SPOHN, A.P.C.

2
3 By: /s/ Vincent M. Spohn

4 Vincent M. Spohn

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

6 DAVID ESTEP and DEANNA ESTEP

7 DATED: December 1, 2014

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP

8
9 By: /s/ William C. O'Neill


10 William C. O'Neill

11 Attorneys for Defendants

12 YUEN YUNG and HDYR, LLC

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 Dated: December 2, 2014

15 
16 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28